

## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

DECEIVE

AUG 3 0 2011

#### BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR

REGIONAL HEARING CLERK U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

In the Matter of:	)	PROTECTION AGENCY
	)	Docket No. TSCA-05-2010-0013
Hanson's Window and Construction, Inc.,	)	
	)	Docket No. TSCA-05-2011-0006
Respondent.	)	

#### **ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

Per Orders dated June 14, 2011, and July 15, 2011, the undersigned granted motions for extensions of time to file prehearing documents based on the parties' progress towards settlement of this matter. On August 4, 2011, this Tribunal was asked for a further extension of time, stating that Respondent had signed a consent decree, which would be "filed shortly." The undersigned issued an Order dated August 5, 2011, granting an extension until September 6, 2011, for Complainant to file the consent decree.

On August 22, 2011, Complainant filed a Response to Order Granting Motion For Extension of Time, wherein it "requests that this Court dismiss the underlying administrative complaints in this matter . . . without prejudice." As grounds therefor, Complainant attached a copy of a proposed Consent Decree executed by authorized representatives of the United States, the State of Michigan, and Hanson's Window and Construction, Inc., and filed with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division. Complainant also attached the United States' Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree, which states that the U.S. Department of Justice will publish notice to the public that it has an opportunity to comment on the proposed Consent Decree, after which the United States will move the District Court to either enter or withdraw the Consent Decree.

The Rules of Practice governing this proceeding, 40 C.F.R. Part 22, provide at Rule 22.14(d) that "after the filing of an answer, the complainant may withdraw the complaint, or any part thereof, without prejudice only upon motion granted by the Presiding Officer." For good cause shown, Complainant's request to dismiss the administrative complaints in this matter is **GRANTED**, and this proceeding is **DISMISSED**, without prejudice.

Chief Administrative Law Judge

Dated: August 24, 2011 Washington, D.C.

# In the Matter of Hanson's Window and Construction, Inc., Respondent Docket No.TSCA-05-2010-0013 & Docket No. TSCA-05-2011-0006

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that the foregoing **Order Of Dismissal**, dated August 24, 2011, was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees listed below.

Maria Whiting Beale Staff Assistant

Dated: August 24, 2011

Original And One Copy By Regular Mail To:

La Dawn Whitehead Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. EPA 77 West Jackson Boulevard, E-19J Chicago, IL 60604-3590

Copy By Regular Mail To:

Mary McAuliffe, Esquire Mark Palermo, Esquire Associate Regional Counsel U.S. EPA 77 West Jackson Boulevard, C-14J Chicago, IL 60604-3590

D.S. Berenson, Esquire Kevin M. Tierney, Esquire Johanson Berenson LLP 1146 Walker Road, Suite C Great Falls, VA 22066



REGIONAL HEARING CLERK U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY